

It may take several decades for rewetted agricultural peat soils to show climatic benefits

April 2nd, 2019

Great Kemer Bog in Latvia ([photo: GRID Arendal, www.flickr.com](https://www.flickr.com/photos/gridarendal/))

Not a quick win

Drained peat soils used for agriculture can be large greenhouse gas sources. By rewetting these soils and thus restoring the wetlands, soil carbon can be sequestered. Nations with vast amounts of peat soils and a commitment to cut down on the emission of greenhouse gases are taking steps to restore these wetlands, or at least to raise groundwater levels and reduce carbon decomposition. In Europe, Ireland has announced to stop excavating its peatlands for fuel. In the Netherlands, raising groundwater levels and subsequently changing land use is under discussion. Rewetting of peat soils is not a quick win contribution to the reduction of greenhouse gases emissions, however. This warning can be read between the lines of a recent article in the journal *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*.

Carbon dioxide versus methane

With respect to rewetting peat soils, the net impact on the emission of greenhouse gases depends on the balance between CO_2 and CH_4 (the impact of N_2O , another greenhouse gas emitted by these soils, has been shown to be negligible, compared to the other two). CO_2 is emitted when peat soils are drained and exposed to the air. CH_4 is formed under anaerobic conditions, so when peat soils are rewetted again. Clearly, wetland restoration comes with a biogeochemical compromise: while flooded wetlands have the potential to sequester carbon, the highly reduced (anaerobic) conditions can result in significant CH_4 emissions. What's more, CH_4 is a much stronger greenhouse gas than CO_2 !

Climatic benefits after decades

35 years of continuous CO_2 and CH_4 measurements in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta (USA) showed that restored wetlands effectively sequestered carbon and halted soil carbon loss associated with drained agricultural land uses. It takes some time, however, before this change from carbon source (drained land) to carbon sink (restored wetland) becomes effective in terms of climate change mitigation: restored wetlands do not begin to accrue greenhouse gas benefits until nearly a half century, and become net sinks from the atmosphere after a century. This is related to the fact that after rewetting, the peat soils start

to emit CH₄ as a result of anaerobic decomposition of carbon. CH₄ being a much stronger greenhouse gas, it takes some time for the CO₂ accumulation to dominate over this CH₄ effect. The latter effect may turn restored wetlands into net sources of greenhouse gases to the atmosphere over decadal timescales. The good news in this US study: over time, the cumulative removal of CO₂, an extremely long-lived greenhouse gas, from the atmosphere vastly outweighs the short-lived CH₄ warming effect.

Long-term commitment

The study shows that land use change from agriculture on drained, degraded peat soils to freshwater, deltaic restored wetlands will result in a net greenhouse gases benefit over multi-decadal timescales, while accreting soil and sequestering carbon from the atmosphere into the ecosystem. Along with climate benefits, these ecosystem services have the potential to halt and reverse soil subsidence and protect the fragile hydrological network of wetland ecosystems. The message to policymakers and planners: take measures that promote the long-term restoration of these kinds of systems to maximize climatic benefit.

Source: Hemes et al., 2019. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 268: 202-214.