

# Relationship climate change and violence is not that simple!

October 5th, 2016



# By Wilfried ten Brinke

This article is based on a large number of (scientisc) sources assembled by the ClimateChangePost. Check out www.climatechangepost.com for more details.

This article is the second of xve articles on climate change and Europels security that will be posted the coming days. The xrst article presented an overview on climate-related security risks. The following three articles will focus on the impact of the increasing number of hazards, climate change and mass migration, and adaptation strategies to relieve climate change impacts on security risks.

# The Arab Spring of 2011

Some scientists link climate change and violent constict by referring to the Arab Spring of 2011. A heat wave in July 2010 in the wider Black Sea basin led to a food crisis. Heat affected the wheat production of Kazakhstan, Russia, and Ukraine, and production in Romania and Bulgaria witnessed a similar drama on account of heavy rain. The problem intensifted with the blazing ftres accompanying the drought in the Black Sea region, especially in Russia and Ukraine. This led to a soaring rise of global food prices, which also affected meat and dairy prices. As the major market for this food constituted Middle Eastern countries including Turkey, Egypt and Syria, various responses ranging from protests to revolts followed in return.

The impact of extreme weather, or climate change, and other potential causes of food and water shortages are generally hard to unravel, however. In the media, the war in Syria is sometimes related to long-lasting droughts or climate change. According to a number of experts, it was the Syrian regime's failure to establish proper water governance and irrigation systems in the south of the country that led to unpreparedness when drought hit harder than usual. While drought led to major internal migration, the reasons were not the drought itself, but the lack of adequate governance.

# No clear evidence climate change is leading to armed conflict

Climate change seems to act as a threat multiplier for instability in some of the most volatile regions of the world. However, research does not conclude that there is a strong positive relationship between warming and armed constct. Concerns about the implications of climate change for security through its impact on water resources have been expressed at the highest political level. Research, however, has still not established a causal link between water scarcity and international or civil violence. Although under certain social conditions they might aggravate the risk of constct, water scarcity and climate change are overall not found to have an important association with armed constct, especially if compared to poverty and dysfunctional institutions.

On the other hand, it has been demonstrated that civil constict risk is associated with current climate variability. This was done for annual variations in the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) during 1950-2004: annual constict risk, defined as the probability that a randomly selected country begins a civil constict in a given year, doubles throughout the tropics when the global climate shifts from the cooler and wetter La Niña phase to the hotter and drier El Niño phase. According to the same study, 21% of consticts since 1950 are attributable to movements in the global climate away from its most peaceful La Niña state. This study is considered the first to demonstrate that global climate changes, rather than just local or regional changes, have a measurable impact on constict and stability around the world.

Also, the opposite effect has been discussed: periods of acute scarcity may incline individuals to focus on immediate survival rather than engage in costly, destructive, organized armed violence. Periods of relative abundance, on the other hand, would create more advantageous tactical environments for constct. Furthermore, research on international river basins shows that issues of water access and water scarcity in many cases lead to cooperation, rather than constct.

This brings us back to the statement that there is no clear evidence climate change is leading to armed constict. Among scientists the link between climate change, and constict and national security is still a controversial topic. On the other hand, disaster and constict often go hand in hand; in 60 years of peace building operations in 49 countries, in only one case (Kosovo) were there no natural disasters.

Things may change in the future, though. Water may become a cause of war in the future due to climate change. Wars may not be fought over water, but caused by consequences of its scarcity, e.g. rising food prices or scarce arable land. In the words of the G7 members in their recent report 'A new climate for peace': Though historically, armed coning to be tween states over water are nearly unprecedented, the future may not look like the past'A

# Vice versa: Armed conflict increases vulnerability to climate change

Climate change may not be leading to armed constct (yet). The other way round, armed constct does increase people's vulnerability to climate change. People living in places affected by violent constct are particularly vulnerable to climate change. Evidence shows that large-scale violent constct harms infrastructure, institutions, natural capital, social capital and livelihood opportunities. Since these assets facilitate adaptation to climate change, there are strong grounds to infer that constct strongly instuences vulnerability to climate change impacts.

Violence creates vulnerabilities that then make parts of the population more susceptible to direct damages from climate change. Rather than climate change and water scarcity, it is political and economic factors that generate vulnerabilities that threaten the security of populations.

Photo: ISN (www.stckr.com)